

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/826,654	Applicant(s) NADEAU ET AL.	
	Examiner FRANK W. LU	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

(1) FRANK W. LU.

(2) Mr. Shawn Foley (Reg. No. 33,071).

Date of Interview: 3 December 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Applicant agreed with the examiner's amendment.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 6, 9, 10, 16, 20, 29, 74, and 78.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Foley agreed with the examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Frank W Lu /

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

Status of Application: After filing RCE

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 5:20 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)